

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/501,202	02/10/2000	Edward Klein	104141-0004	3531	
2292	7590 06/30/2004	06/30/2004		EXAMINER	
BIRCH STE	WART KOLASCH & BII	VOLPER, THOMAS E			
PO BOX 747 FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
TABLE CHE	1011, 111 22010 0717		2665	19	
			DATE MAILED: 06/30/2004	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Supplemental **Advisory Action**

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/501,202	K N ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Thomas Volper	2665	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 11 May 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued

Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]
 a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:
(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);
(c) ☑ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE:
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:
Claim(s) allowed:
Claim(s) objected to:
Claim(s) rejected:
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)
10. Other:
LECHING CONTROL SECOND

TECHNOLOGY CLIVI Part of Paper No. 9
HUY D. VU

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600





Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Contrary to Applicant's argument, Higgins does provide for operating a node as a pass through for traffic. In the quotation submitted by Applicant (page 3 of the "Remarks") Higgins discloses "... a final verification is performed to ascertain that the new node or nodes as well as the neighbor nodes have open ports. Thereafter, the new node can be configured to transmit and receive packets.." This meets the limitation of operating as a pass through for traffic since the open ports on the newly inserted nodes and neighbor nodes provide a path through which traffic may pass. Applicant admits that this allows "the new node(s) to exchange configuration and verification messages with the host node" (page 3 - page 4 of "Remarks"). Exchanging these messages meets the limitation of passing traffic through the node. Applicant also argues that Chan does not teach determing that a node has failed, and removing the failed node (page 6 of "Remarks"). Chan provides for detecting link failures and deleting a node. It is obvious that if a node was the cause of a link failure, the node would be deleted in that case.